DARE UK

Scoring Criteria and Weightings

1.Co	mpliance Checking	
1.1	Application received on time?	Yes / No
1.2	Application complete and in the requested format?	Yes / No
1.3	Have all proposed members of the community group (as set out in the community group charter) been consulted on and support the funding application?	Yes/No
PASS after	i ng Criteria: 5 – Application is compliant, or Applicant has corrected any obvious error in thei clarification.	r application

REJECTED – Application is not compliant, including any clarification measures.

2. Planned community group outputs or outcomes requiring funding, and approach to achieving these

Scoring Criteria:

0 – Response incomplete or does not substantively address the requirement

3 – Response poor and whilst addresses the requirement, causes major concern about the credibility of approach

7 – Response is good, addressing the requirement and causing only minor concerns about the credibility of approach

10 – Excellent response detailing a credible approach to meeting the requirements.

Weighting:

50%

3. Community group capability and capacity to deliver

Scoring Criteria:

0 – Response incomplete or does not substantively address the requirement

3 – Response poor and whilst addresses the requirement, causes major concern about the expertise and relevance of the track record against the requirements.

7 – Response is good, addressing the requirement and causing only minor concerns about the expertise and relevance of the track record against the requirements.

10 – Excellent response proving the breadth of expertise in successfully delivering against the requirements.

Weighting:

20%

4. Project plan

Scoring Criteria:

0 – Response incomplete or does not substantively address the requirement

3 – Response poor and whilst addresses the requirement, causes major concern about the proposed project plan.

7 – Response is good, addressing the requirement and causing only minor concerns about the proposed project plan.









10 – Excellent response where proposed project plan fully meets requirements.

Weighting:

10%

5. Resources and cost justification

Scoring Criteria:

0 – Response incomplete or does not substantively address the requirement

3 – Response poor and whilst addresses the requirement the commercial offer is vague or lacking detail and requires significant clarification.

7 – Response is good and the commercial offer is reasonably detailed and transparent, or requiring little clarification, but lacks clear VFM assurance.

10 – Excellent response which provides detailed, line-item pricing, fully transparent assumptions, clearly exclusive of VAT, and describing any charitable or public sector discounts applied or alternative VFM aspects of the commercial offer.

Weighting:

20%

Please note: the fundable threshold score for funding applications is 7, proposals with an overall score less than 7 will not be eligible for funding.